1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
6		
7	DAVID GAZZANO,	
8	Plaintiff, Case No. 2:16-cv-00002-RFB-CW	Н
9	vs. ORDER	
10	JEH JOHNSON, et al.,	
11	Defendants.	
12		
13	Presently before the Court is Defendants' Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to	
14	Complaint for Writ of Mandamus (ECF No. 11), filed on March 7, 2016. Plaintiff did not file a	
15	response. Under Local Rule 7-2(d), the "failure of an opposing party to file points and authoriti	es
16	in response to any motion shall constitute a consent to granting of the motion." The Court	
17	therefore will grant Defendants' motion.	
18	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendants' Motion for Extension of Time to	
19	Respond to Complaint for Writ of Mandamus (ECF No. 11) is GRANTED.	
20	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' deadline to respond to Plaintiff's Compla	aint
21	(ECF No. 1) is May 6, 2016.	
22		
23	DATED: March 29, 2016.	
24	o(1)	
25	C.W. Hoffman, Jr.	
26	United States Magistrate Judge	
27		
28		